MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

LANDS COMMISSION

NOTICE OF PREPARATION OF A DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
REPORT AND NOTICE OF PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING

MALIBU CITY HALL

23815 STUART RANCH ROAD

MALIBU, CALIFORNIA

TUESDAY, MAY 3, 2011 6:04 P.M.

TIFFANY KRAFT, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 12277

APPEARANCES

STATE LANDS STAFF

Mr. Kenneth Foster, Public Land Management Specialist, Land Management Division

Mr. Eric Gillies, Assistant Chief, Division of Environmental Planning and Management

Ms. Crystal Spurr, Staff Environmental Scientist, Division of Environmental Planning and Management

ALSO PRESENT

Mr. Russell H. Boudreau, Moffatt & Nichol

Mr. Kenneth Ehrlich, Trancas Property Owner's Association

Ms. Tonia McMahon, Moffatt & Nichol

PUBLIC COMMENT

Mr. Thomas Kazberian

Mr. Hans Lautz

INDEX PAGE Opening Comments by Staff 1 Comments by Mr. Ehrlich 3 Presentation by Mr. Boudreau 7 Public Comments 18 Adjournment 27 Reporter's Certificate 28

PROCEEDINGS

STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST SPURR: We're going to get started with the scoping meeting for the Notice of Preparation for the proposed Broad Beach Restoration

Project EIR. We're going to be transcribing this meeting so we can get a record of all the comments that are made tonight.

We have a sign-in sheet. If everyone would please sign in, we'll have your name and address so we can add you to our mailing list, if you're not already on it.

We have speaker slips, too, if you'd hand those in if you'd like to speak. And you can also write on the back if you have comments you want to submit in writing. You can submit comments to us by mail, e-mail, or fax to the address on the NOP.

My name is Crystal Spurr. I'm a Staff
Environmental Scientist with the California State Lands
Commission. I'm the staff person that will be managing
the preparation of the EIR for the Broad Beach Restoration
Project.

There are two other people from the State Lands
Commission: Eric Gillies, who is the Assistant Division
Chief of the Environmental Planning and Management
Division; and Ken Foster, with our Land Management
Division, who will be handling the lease of State lands

for this project.

California State Lands Commission is the lead agency under CEQA for the preparation of the EIR. We have a process that we use to hire a consultant to assist us with the preparation of the EIR. The consulting firm that the State does hire will do a third-party review of all the information that is provided to us by the applicant, the Trancas Property Owners' Association. The consulting firm will also provide any supplemental information that may be needed or additional studies that will be needed for the EIR.

The NOP, the Notice of Preparation, was mailed to everyone on our mailing list, which was about 590 people, including State, federal, local agencies, special districts, other organizations, and property owners within a 500-foot radius.

On April 15th, the NOP was out for a 30-day public comment period, which will end on May 16th. We'd appreciate you providing us written comments within this public review period.

Our proposed schedule for release of the draft EIR is in November of 2011. At that time, the Notice of Availability will be mailed to everyone on our mailing list of the draft EIR. We will provide a 45-day public review period. During that time, we will also have two

public meetings, just like we're holding for the scoping, where we will receive public comments on the draft EIR.

Once the comment period has ended on the draft EIR, we will prepare a final EIR, which will include responses to all the comments and any changes to the draft EIR. The proposed schedule for release of the final EIR is in March of 2012. A copy of the final EIR will be mailed to everyone who's made comments. It will probably be electronic on a disk.

We will also send a Notice of Availability of the final EIR, which will include a date, time, and location where the EIR will be taken to our Commission where they will make a decision on whether to certify the EIR and whether to approve the Broad Beach Restoration Project.

We are expecting a Commission meeting to be held in May or June of 2012.

Are there any questions on the EIR process at this time?

So we're going to have a presentation on the proposed Broad Beach Restoration Project. I would like to introduce Ken Ehrlich, who is representing the applicant, the Trancas Property Owners' Association.

MR. EHRLICH: Thank you, Crystal.

I serve as outside counsel for the Trancas

Property Owners' Association and the proposed Broad Beach

Geologic Hazard Abatement District as well.

Broad Beach is a mile long beach of private homes. The project area is essentially in yellow on that map. The project area includes all of the private property from the Point Lechuza, but not the actual house on the point itself. There is a large house actually on the point, and that's not within the project area. Begins with the home right next door to that and goes all the way down and includes the home next to Trancas Creek. There are 114 homes within that project area, and that's exactly where we're talking about working.

Seventy-seven of those homes currently have seaward of them an emergency revetment that was built in early months of 2010. That emergency revetment as proposed would be made permanent by this project in its current location and covered with sand. The project does not include the inclusion of additional rocks. There was one home toward the eastern end of the project area.

And just so we're clear, the coastline in that area goes from west on the Ventura side to east on the Santa Monica side. If I'm talking about west, it's essentially up or north, and east is down or south. There is one home on the eastern side of the project area eastern -- toward the eastern end that doesn't have rocks that there's about a 100-foot gap in the rocks. That will

remain. And if the project moves forward, that home will just get sand.

The same with homes further west of the emergency revetment, which ends at 31346 Broad Beach Road. Those homes will just get sand contoured toward the homes into the dune system. But the existing revetment, the existing emergency revetment, will be permitted and essentially form the core of the restored dune system at Broad Beach.

Broad Beach throughout earlier decades was, in fact, a very wide beach of more than 100 feet, probably up to 200 feet, from the 50s through the early 70s. And the intent of this project is to rebuild and restore the beach to that with what it was.

In recent years, due to recent storms and other natural causes, the beach has eroded essentially to nothing. There was significant property damage done to two homes in late January and early February of 2010 due to storms as well as significant sand erosion. And Russ can get more -- Russ Boudreau and Tonia McMahon of Moffatt Nichols is the coastal engineer and engineers of record for the emergency project as well as the permanent project.

And my apologies for not introducing them sooner.

But Russ Boudreau of Moffatt and Nichols can go

more into the detail of the sand loss and the erosion and

the extent of it. But the intent is to restore the beach, protect the homes, as well as restore a robust dry sand public beach of Broad Beach that existed prior to all this erosion.

As a side benefit of everything that we're talking about of the project itself, access issues at Broad Beach in our view should go away. There have been decades of litigation, skirmishes, and other sort of public policy debate at Broad Beach over what constitutes public and private property. It is currently now a mess the way that the public and private boundary has evolved. Some homes have granted lateral access easement seaward of the mean high tide line. Other homes have not. Some have allowed their property to be deeded to the State up to the streamline of the homes. It is far from uniform.

Part of one of the benefits of our project would be an effort to make that public/private boundary uniform and agreed upon by everybody, which should hopefully stop the access issues at Broad Beach and have a real robust dry sand public beach for the public to be accessed by the existing two vertical access ways, one in between 31346 and 31340 as well as the other eastern access at 31 -- 31112 and 31110, if I'm not mistaken. I might be wrong on those numbers, but you get the point -- to continue to have the vertical access ways which now have stairs over

them to accommodate for the revetment. The sand would be built up so the stairs going down to the beach would be covered by sand, as would be the entire emergency revetment.

This is a solely privately funded project. The project costs for the initial sand nourishment is somewhere in the neighborhood of \$10 million. The permitting is probably another \$2 million. It has been privately funded to date and it will continue to be privately funded.

We have reached out to the City of Malibu Lands Commission, the Coastal Commission, and a whole host of other State and federal and local agencies to get the emergency project done. We'll reach out to the same agencies and maybe more depending upon the scope of the project as we move forward. But the private homeowners are committed to restoring the beach, making a significant public benefit, and protecting their homes and adding to the public and adding to the luster of Broad Beach and bringing it back to what it was.

So thank you. And Russ, take it away.

MR. BOUDREAU: Great. Thank you, Ken.

(Thereupon an overhead presentation was presented as follows.)

MR. BOUDREAU: So we'll start with just the

location. To point out, this is Broad Beach here, Point Lechuza here. Point Dume down here. I point this out because it's important the location relationship of Broad Beach. And then immediately down drift or to the southeast is Zuma Beach and then Point Dume State Beach. Very popular public beaches. So that's important as we go forward.

--000--

MR. BOUDREAU: So Moffatt Nichols got started working with the Broad Beach Homeowners' Association, which is called the Trancas Property Owners' Association, the TPOA, back in 2009. And they were interested in moving forward with a long-term beach restoration project. This is an aerial photo of what the beach looked like at the west end back then suffering from shoreline erosion. Not much sandy beach, problems with damages and things like that. So this is the situation in 2009.

--000--

MR. BOUDREAU: The interest was let's see if we can bring the beach back to how it was back 40 years ago. And this is an aerial photo back in the early 1970s. So the interest is to basically restore the beach and the shoreline and the dune system to what it was back 40 years ago.

--000--

MR. BOUDREAU: Don't worry about the technical aspects of this graph. I just want to make a couple of important points that come from this.

We've done a good number of technical studies that investigated the shoreline erosion, the amount of sand that's being lost on the beach, things such as that, so we can plan for the future project.

So a couple things that are important from this graph. One is that since the late 60s or early 70s, on the average, Broad Beach has lost about 20,000 cubic yards per year. Okay. But then recently, in the past six years or so, seven years, 2004 to 2009, it's accelerated a bit to 35,000 cubic yards per year. Okay. So keep those numbers in mind as we talk about the volume of beach nourishment we're talking about.

--000--

MR. BOUDREAU: So what was put in place to respond to shoreline erosion on a temporary basis were these temporary geo textile bags. Those were approved by the City and the Coastal Commission on a temporary basis until a longer-term project was achieved. However, as we got started in mid to late 2009 rolling into the winter season, high tides and storm waves were basically really causing havoc. A lot of these temporary sandbag revetments were failing.

Here's a photo here that shows the photo of a residence immediately adjacent to the western access and this patio structure was completely undermined due to waves and sand erosion. A lot of these were ready to fail.

And what's important to be aware of is a lot of these properties, particularly toward the west end, have their septic systems between the home and the beach. So some of them were within feet of being undermined and basically falling into the ocean. So it was time to take action on an emergency basis. So that's what was done.

--000--

MR. BOUDREAU: So in late 2009, there was an emergency situation, and so we worked very closely with the City of Malibu and got an emergency coastal development permit from them. We also met with the Coastal Commission and also got an emergency coastal development from them to put in a temporary rock revetment basically to draw the line to protect -- to reduce any further erosion such we didn't lose septics and leach system fields, which would have a dramatic negative impact to the water quality of the beach. So that was done.

But the stipulation being that that was done on a temporary basis. And says here the Coastal Commission granted the emergency CDP in January of 2010 conditioned

upon the TPOA coming back within 18 months with a long-term project, which is the project we're talking about today.

--000--

MR. BOUDREAU: This is just a listing of the other permits that were gathered as a part of the emergency temporary revetment project explaining that was just a temporary project leading towards this long-term solution.

--000--

MR. BOUDREAU: So I know a lot of people that saw the rocks on the beach said, how could you let this happen? What are we doing putting more rocks on the beach? So it's important to know that's just an interim measure. We had to do that to protect the septic systems, to protect the structures. But this just falls into the long-term beach nourishment project, which is what we're talking about today. So the work was not completed here.

--000--

MR. BOUDREAU: So what is the proposed project? It's a beach nourishment and dune restoration project that basically restores the historically wide beach. It adds sand and it restores the dune system and dune habitat with this increased beach width. As Ken mentioned, it then restores the lateral public access along the beach, better

vertical access to the existing access ways.

And what is also included is the existing temporary revetment is going to be left there buried as you see here at -- and a slide will come up -- buried here such that -- and I'm going to talk about this a bit more. You put a beach nourishment project on that's got a certain life, towards the end of the life, you put another beach nourishment project. If it gets near the end of the life of the beach nourishment project and there's limited sand on the beach and let's say there is a series of storms or big storms, the temporary revetment is there protecting the leach fields, protecting the homes as needed, but then in place for the next beach nourishment episode to follow.

--000--

MR. BOUDREAU: So this just gives you again a perspective. The beach dune system is about 1550-feet wide. The beach nourishment project, about 100-feet wide. And an average -- this is an existing shoreline profile, a past profile of the beach. So you see the depth of sand typically is about 10 to 15 feet of sand on top of what's out there now.

--000--

MR. BOUDREAU: So again, the beach berm, which is the flat part of the beach, it's roughly 6,000-feet long

by 100-feet wide, built to an elevation to approximately +14, which is a typical flat beach elevation in Southern California. It varies a bit. But that's a typical average elevation. The dune will be more focused towards the -- everywhere but the west end. There really wasn't a dune there. And so it doesn't make sense to build up the dune there. So that will probably just be the beach nourishment project without the higher dune, but then to build up the dune, plant the dune, and actually then protect the existing dune habitat that exists further to the east.

And so to do this, we're talking about a beach nourishment project on the order of 600,000 cubic yards. And we estimate that the life of that project is going to be on the order of ten years. Obviously, we can't predict exactly how it's going to be, because that's going to be affected by waves and things such as that.

But again, I talked about over the past 40 years the beach has lost on the average of 20,000 per year.

Recently, it's been 35,000 per year. So you can see the 600,000 should be more than enough to get us through ten years, but that we don't want to have no sand left on the beach at the end of ten years. We want to have enough so that we can trigger to put a new beach nourishment project out there. Plus, there's some safety buffer as

well. So we think that 600,000 cubic yards of sand is a good number for the initial beach nourishment project.

--000--

MR. BOUDREAU: So we've been working on a number of studies basically to get us where we are to the point where we're ready to put this project forward for entitlement. So what we call a Phase I study, this is basically a coastal process and coastal engineering study this was completed a little over a year ago, and then a sand source investigation that looked into the feasibility of actually putting sand on the beach. Are there viable sources nearby, and how much might they cost.

--000--

MR. BOUDREAU: So the key findings of the studies to date is, like I said, I talked about the erosion rates of 20 and 35,000. But based upon that, we're pretty comfortable that those loss rates make it feasible to do a beach nourishment project, that it's something that would last long enough with that volume that it could be viable.

Another important thing to mention is that the net transport of sediment or sand is basically along the shoreline from west to east. And so Zuma Beach and beaches down drift are a direct benefactor. So there is a huge public benefit to this project, because the sand is just going to move and nourish those beaches. And through

the studies we've done, no surprise, Broad Broach is losing sand. So is Zuma Beach. So this is going to be a real boom to the public beaches down drift as well.

--000--

MR. BOUDREAU: We don't want to put forward a beach nourishment project if there aren't any good viable sources of sand nearby in terms of quality and nearby in terms of economics.

So what we've done, we've done a couple things. We did an initial study that looked at existing and available information about sources of beach sand. And we found that based upon studies that were available, there is good sources of sand nearby with sufficient volume and quality. There's also, if needed, there's good on-shore sources. We would have concerns about those in terms of environmental impacts of truck trips and things like that, but it's good to know they're available.

So the studies that are ongoing right now -- and these are studies that will basically be technical appendices to the EIR. One is the off-shore sand source investigation. The off-shore sand source investigation has been in two phases. We just completed the first phase, which is basically a geophysical evaluation where some consultant goes out and does geophysics to get a better map of sand sources in terms of their spatial

extent, how deep they are, and then they also took grab samples to get a feel for the quality of the sand, the color, the grain size, its compatibility on the beach. We just completed the geophysical program recently. We prepared a sampling and analysis plan to do the second phase.

We met with the various agencies last week and were very close. We expect approval of our sampling and analysis plan this week. And what that plan will then allow us to do would be to do vibrant cores, where we go out and take core samples full of depth of where we may dredge. So we can get samples of the sand so we can understand its characteristics, its quality, to make sure that any source we look at would be clean, free of any contaminants or any other unacceptable material such that it is beach quality material.

We've also as part of the project have a regular program of beach profiling such that we're kind of measuring the without project performance right now. We typically measure the beach profile toward the end of winter, which is right about now at the end of summer. So we've been doing a biannual beach profiling program. And also some preliminary marine and dune habitat surveys have been conducted.

In addition to that, we're also doing some

studies of -- we want to understand more about the causes of erosion such that we can plan better for the future. So some ongoing studies up drift of the shoreline to try to get a better feel for the causes of erosion are underway.

And also, too, what's going to be important as part of the EIR is where is the sand going to go? Is it going to cover sensitive rocky habitat, things like that? So we're going to apply models as a tool to predict sand coverage over time as the beach nourishment is in place and evolves.

--000--

MR. BOUDREAU: And then efforts to date in terms of the entitlement of the project, we've been spending a lot of time with the various agencies talking about the project, applying for permits. And then what we're here today is for the Notice of Preparation and the public scoping meeting for the EIR process. So that's kind of the summary of the project.

We'd be happy to take any questions you might have on it. Thank you.

Actually, I was going to mention one thing that I meant to. One interim measure that we're going to use or we would like to use -- and we've mentioned it to the agencies and they're responsive to the idea. We're doing

18

1 this beach nourishment project here. And over time, the sand is gradually going to move this way. So typically 2 3 beaches on this end will narrow before these. So what we'd like to do to extend the life of the beach 4 5 nourishment project is be allowed to do some interim back 6 passing. Don't put new sand on the beach. But if there's 7 still sufficient width here, perhaps move some of that sand back up here. Like it's a conveyer belt, but move 8 9 some of it back up here just to extend the life of the 10 project. We put that in there, and we're going to talk 11 about that in the EIR, but that has received some positive 12 response thus far from the agencies.

STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST SPURR: Did you have a question?

MR. LAETZ: Yes, I have a whole bunch of questions.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST SPURR: Would you state your name?

MR. LAETZ: Sure. My name is Hans, H-a-n-s. My last name is Laetz, L-a-e-t-z.

And I'd like to start by saying thank you. This is a marvelous project, and I really appreciate the TPOA for doing it and for the State Lands Commission for doing it right.

That said, it's not done right yet. The

statement that I sent you, which I've sent both sides, it's hard to express in a legal statement like that, technical statement, the emotions and the feelings that we have. We really want our beach back and we really appreciate that.

But I'm really surprised to see a lack of mention of Trancas Creek and Lagoon and the ongoing restoration effort there. The National Parks Service and the State Resources Conservation District of Santa Monica Mountains are in the midst of acquiring that lagoon for restoration and possible expansion.

It's not an EIR. And it's very, very important that those agencies be consulted fully and that very important questions be answered, like: What is a wider beach going to be the effect on Trancas Creek? If that beach is wider, does that mean the ocean is going to have a harder time topping the berm? Which is a very important matter because that lagoon dries up typically in the fall. And in dry years, it's been dry year round.

And we've got a corollary issue there which also isn't addressed in this, and that's the end of a project. The last house on the east is currently a Coastal Commission investigation going on, I think -- I'm not sure -- about illegal engineering of the lagoon to drain Trancas Lagoon, because he's concerned about water coming

either onto his property or flooding his septic tank. We need to look at this time at a permanent solution that will protect the poor man's house, but at the same time address Trancas Lagoon and the ultimate restoration.

But the current interim situation with water levels there and property protection for both the county property, which is the lagoon, and the private property, which is Mr. Klein's house I think it is, and we're going to have to look at that. But I'm curious about that.

MR. EHRLICH: Can I ask you a quick question,
Hans? What is the -- will the project that you're talking
about in connection with Trancas Creek and Trancas Lagoon
and the purchase by a public agency, will that change the
flow at all or it's just to preserve what's already there?
MR. LAETZ: No. They're looking at -- in terms

MR. LAETZ: No. They're looking at -- in terms of flow, you mean, like, freshwater flow into the creek or --

MR. EHRLICH: Or additional saltwater flow back and forth.

MR. LAETZ: They're not looking at engineering on the beach as far as I know. But they are talking about restoring a steelhead salmon fishery. How you can do that without a nexus to the ocean, I have no clue. And they are studying. And this needs to be -- as we all I'm sure will agree -- needs to be an integral part of your --

MR. EHRLICH: Just so you know, too, from the applicant's standpoint, there were mitigation measures in connection with Trancas Creek for the emergency project.

MR. LAETZ: Right.

MR. EHRLICH: For example, when Trancas Creek was flowing, there was no work. Work literally stopped. And it rained a couple of times in the middle the project.

MR. LAETZ: Well, yes and no. During your project, trucks were driving across there while the lagoon was being replenished from the ocean, while there was high tide water flowing into the lagoon. Doesn't matter. Water under the bridge, quite literally.

And I want to point out the complaints we had in there about the noise are proactive. We didn't make a peep about this, because we think it was real important those rocks be dumped during emergency project and that the -- we're just worried about the possibility -- doesn't sound like it's going to happen -- of more rocks coming in. We now hear there's no more revetment coming in. So that's fine.

But we don't know -- and we look with trepidation at the western parking lot at Zuma Beach County Park becoming again the staging area for trucks, equipment, pipes. I've seen the Army Corps of Engineers do sand piping at Mission Beach, for example. And they needed a

construction yard on the beach to keep the trucks and their pipes and their Jeep and their various sundry equipment.

And we understand there's probably no other alternative. But this is a highly used public -- that end of the beach at the time you're talking about doing it in the fall is used for as the finish line for the -- and the starting line for the Malibu Triathlon, for example, and the Avon Breast Cancer Ride. That parking lot on the beach is extremely heavily used in the fall. Not so much in the wintertime when the emergency project. So hopefully you can find a different place for your construction yard this go-round. And also then you don't have to drive through the creek.

The one other thing we probably didn't address well enough in the statement I gave you was the issue about parking and access to the new public beach. I'm hearing \$12 million plus spent voluntarily by TPOA, which is phenomenal. We're hugely appreciative. A lot of money. The amount of gain of enjoyment that the homeowners will have is incalculable. And they're going to get a beach restored to their house, which will increase the value. But more importantly, they're enjoying the aesthetics of the property, too.

But we're going to get a public beach put in that

will finally be rid of the conflict and the bad blood from years past. That's great. That's wonderful, too. But we don't have a good way to access that beach. There are two vertical access ways. But there is no bathroom facility. There's no parking, except along Broad Beach Road itself. If we're putting in a \$12 million public amenity, we don't have a good way for the public to access it.

I suggested in the comments that I filed with you by mail the lot across Broad Beach Road to its north between Broad Beach Road and Pacific Coast Highway is publicly owned. And there is a bluff there. So you can't get up the bluff. The top of that lot, the top of that bluff is where the 27 miles of scenic beauty is if you drive in from Ventura. Tour buses stop. Tourists stop there. People are always getting their picture taken. It's beautiful. Overlooks the houses.

This might be a win-win solution where if we put public parking up there with access ways down the bluff face to the two vertical access ways, the homeowners aren't going to have as many people down on their street parking and getting in the way and leaving trash, whatever. You can put trash barrels up and make that a real public amenity. And I hope you consider that. I offered it in the writing.

If we're going to build a marvelous public beach,

we need to have a way for the public to access it. If you don't add that park, they're going to access it by doing what they do now on a heavy beach day, and that's parking in my neighborhood. That's fine. We like visitors. But they park at Surfside Way off Guernsey Avenue and clog up our end of the beach and walk up Broad Beach. That's fair. It was like that when we moved in. But let's share the load.

Thanks for your consideration.

I do have a question -- and that was a statement.

How are you going to taper the beach at the end?

How are you going to deal with the interface between your nourishment project, the lagoon, and Zuma Beach?

MR. BOUDREAU: I think -- I would be happy to answer those. I know that's something that will be definitely addressed in the EIR. But it won't be just cut off. It will be tapered gradually over a certain amount of distance like we do elsewhere on the beach nourishment project.

MR. LAETZ: Sure. But you probably have to taper it in front of Zuma Beach, right?

MR. BOUDREAU: Yeah. We haven't really gone that far as how we taper the end effect. But that's something that would definitely be looked at as well as --

MR. LAETZ: That's appreciated and makes sense.

That's where I swim every day. So my selfish question:
What are you going to do to the waves there, where I go
body surfing every day? And like I say, waves, shape, and
I don't have to tell you how important that is. But it's
going to be different where your taper is than where it's
flat. So look out for me there. Thank you.

STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST SPURR: Thank you. Are there any other questions on the project?

We've already started the public comment so -
MR. LAETZ: Sorry.

STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST SPURR: That's fine. We'll continue with public comment. Do you have any other comments?

MR. LAETZ: No. I want to make sure everybody knows we really weren't complaining about the noise and the lights. We want to stay out of the way on that one.

STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST SPURR: Okay.

18 | Thank you.

Thomas Kazberian.

MR. KAZBERIAN: Thomas Kazberian. Thank you.

I represent one of the property owners on Little Broad Beach Road. She has a concern whether or not this project is necessary to protect her home and whether or not the homes on Little Broad Beach Road really face many of the same issues as the homes that are off of Broad

Beach Road.

So I would ask that the draft EIR investigate the necessity of this project for the entire length that's contemplated so there is examination of that. In particular, any differences between the homes in front of Little Broad Beach Road that's more to the west as was described before, and specifically require that the draft EIR examines one of its alternatives a project that's not as extensive as this one, not be the entire length or maybe would not be fully contagious if that's not necessary as well.

Lastly, I anticipated that there would certainly be some call for -- and I understood Mr. Lautz actually to raise this issue, too -- for construction for increased public parking. Given that I think that's going to be very possibly called for by some members of the public and may eventually become part of the project, we can anticipate also, of course, a great deal more beach traffic. And those impacts certainly would need to be investigated and appropriate mitigation proposed for those impacts, because certainly with that kind of increased traffic both on the beach as well as up the block, that's certainly going to create all sorts of different environmental impacts. And those will need to be investigated and appropriate mitigation proposed.

1 Thank you.

STAFF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST SPURR: Thank you.

Is there anyone else who would like to speak? We have speaker slips. I'd appreciate it if you would write your name on the speaker slip on the table and I'll call you up.

Is there anyone else?

We've already gone through the presentation, for those of you coming in. We have a sign-up sheet on the table. Is there anyone who would like to provide comments?

Do we have any other comments? Any further comments?

All right. We don't have any further comments or any questions. We'll take any more questions if you have any questions. So we're going to go ahead and close this scoping meeting. But we're going to stick around until about 7:00 if you have any questions.

(Whereupon the meeting concluded at 6:41 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, TIFFANY C. KRAFT, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, and Registered
Professional Reporter, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing hearing was reported in shorthand by me,
Tiffany C. Kraft, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the
State of California, and thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of said hearing.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 5th day of May, 2011.

TIFFANY C. KRAFT, CSR, RPR
Certified Shorthand Reporter
License No. 12277